(

Table IV. Equilibrium Constants for Hydrogen Bonding by 2-Methyl-2-ethylsuccinimide to Pyridine Derivatives and pK_a Values for the Protonated Pyridines

base	$pK_a^{\ b}$	<i>K</i> ,ª M⁻¹	dev of log K from least-sqrs line ^c	
3-chloropyridine	2.81	7.6	0.001	
pyridine	5.21	18	0.001	
3-methylpyridine	5.70	23	0.027	
4-methylpyridine	5.99	24	0.003	
3,4-dimethylpyridine	6.48	27	0.023	
2-aminopyridine	6.86	55	0.24	
2-(dimethylamino)pyridine	6.99	6.5	0.72	
2-(diethylamino)pyridine	7.22 ^d	5.0	0.82	

^aWeighted average of values from Table III. Weights inversely proportional to standard deviations. ^bIn water at 25 °C. From Perrin, D. D. Dissociation Constants of Organic Bases in Aqueous Solution: Supplement 1972; Butterworth: London, 1972; unless otherwise indicated. °Through points for compounds lacking 2substituents. ^dReference 3.



Figure 3. Plot of log K for hydrogen bonding of 2-methyl-2ethylsuccinimide to pyridine derivatives vs pK_a for the protonated pyridines.

creases with increasing electronegativity of the atoms that are bonded when other factors are equal.⁵

Registry No. 1-Methyl-2-pyridone, 694-85-9; 2-methyl-2ethylsuccinimide, 77-67-8; 1-pentyluracil, 13350-87-3; 2-chloro-3-methylmaleimide, 69636-50-6; 2,3-dichloro-2-methylsuccinimide, 69636-49-3; tetrafluorosuccinimide, 377-33-3; 3-chloropyridine, 626-60-8; pyridine, 110-86-1; 3-methylpyridine, 108-99-6; 4methylpyridine, 108-89-4; 3,4-dimethylpyridine, 583-58-4; 2aminopyridine, 504-29-0; 2-(dimethylamino)pyridine, 5683-33-0; 2-(diethylamino)pyridine, 36075-06-6.

(5) Hine, J. Structural Effects on Equilibria in Organic Chemistry; Wiley: New York, 1975; Section 6-8.

Nickel Cyanide and Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Carbonylation of Aryl Iodides in the Absence of Light

Ibrahim Amer and Howard Alper*,1

Ottawa-Carleton Chemistry Institute, Department of Chemistry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 9B4

Received March 23, 1988

Phase-transfer catalysis, widely used in organic chemistry,²⁻⁴ is an area of considerable recent interest in or-

Table I. Nickel Cyanide and Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed **Carbonylation of Aryl Iodides**

reactr				yield, %	
substrate	time, h	product	GC	isolated	
C ₆ H ₅ I	16.5	C ₆ H ₅ COOH	95	80	
ŀ-ČH₃C ₆ H₄I	16	4-CH ₃ C ₆ H₄COOH	90	65	
3-CH ₃ C ₆ H₄I	16	3-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ COOH	55	45	
2-CH ₃ C ₆ H₄I	17	2-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ COOH	99	80	
I-CIC ₆ H ₄ I	16	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ COOH	68	60	
I-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ I	44	4-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ COOH	48	40	
2-HOCH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ I	17	2-HOCH2C6H4COOH	100	60	
$L-C_{10}H_7I^a$	16	1-C ₁₀ H ₇ COOH	75	60	
2-C4H3SIb	17	2-C4H3SCOOH	46	45	

^a1-Iodonaphthalene. ^b2-Iodothiophene.

ganometallic chemistry and catalysis.⁵ Much of the research in catalysis concerns carbonylation reactions, of which a significant proportion utilize halides as substrates. Both palladium⁶ and cobalt ^{7,8} compounds are able to catalyze the conversion of benzyl halides to acids. Vinylic dibromides form mono acids⁹ or diacids¹⁰ in the presence of bis[1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane]palladium(0).

One can effect the phase transfer, and sometimes biphasic, cobalt carbonyl catalyzed carbonylation of aryl halides to acids, provided the reaction mixture is subjected to irradiation at 350 nm (eq 1).¹¹ No reaction occurs in

$$\operatorname{ArX} + \operatorname{CO} \xrightarrow{5\mathrm{N \ NaOH, \ C_{6}H_{6}, \ Co_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}}}_{(C_{4}H_{9})_{4}\mathrm{N}^{+}\mathrm{Br}^{-}, \ h\nu \ (350 \ \mathrm{mm})}} \operatorname{ArCOOH}$$
(1)

the dark. It is noteworthy that mono and double carbonylation occurs when ortho-halogenated benzoic acids are used as reactants.¹² An S_{RN}1 mechanism has been proposed for this reaction.

In 1985, one of us¹³ described the use of cheap nickel cyanide as a catalyst for the carbonylation of allyl halides under phase-transfer conditions. We now report the first examples of the phase-transfer-catalyzed, direct carbonylation of aryl halides to acids under nonphotolytic conditions, using nickel cyanide as the metal catalyst.

Treatment of iodobenzene with carbon monoxide, sodium hydroxide (5 N), and toluene as the organic phase, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide as the phase-transfer catalyst, and nickel cyanide as the metal complex, at 90 °C and 1 atm for 16.5 h, afforded benzoic acid in 80% isolated yield (eq 2). The ratio of substrate to nickel

$$\operatorname{ArI} + \operatorname{CO} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{CN})_2 \cdot 4\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}, 5 \operatorname{N} \operatorname{NaOH}}_{\operatorname{PhCH}_3, \operatorname{C}_{16}\operatorname{H}_{38}\operatorname{N}(\operatorname{CH}_3)_3^+\operatorname{Br}^-} \operatorname{ArCOOH}$$
(2)

catalyst was 10:1. This is a genuine phase-transfer reaction since iodobenzene is recovered unchanged in the absence of the quaternary ammonium salt. This reaction is sensitive to the base concentration since use of 1 N or 7.5 N sodium hydroxide affords a maximum of 20% benzoic acid. Nonpolar solvents [e.g., toluene] facilitate reaction while

 Killam Research Fellow, 1986–88.
 Starks, C. M.; Liotta, C. Phase Transfer Catalysis-Principles and Techniques; Academic Press: New York, 1978. (3) Weber, W. P.; Gokel, G. W. Phase Transfer Catalysis in Synthesis;

Springer-Verlag: New York, 1977.

- (4) Dehmlow, E. V.; Dehmlow, S. S. Phase Transfer Catalysis, 2nd ed.;
 Verlag Chemie: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1983.
 (5) Alper, H. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1987, 326, 8. Alper, H. Fundam. Res.
- Homogeneous Catal. 1984, 4, 79. des Abbayes, H. Isr. J. Chem. 1985, 26, 249.
 - (6) Alper, H.; Hashem, K.; Heveling, J. Organometallics 1982, 1, 775. (6) Alper, H.; Hashem, K.; Hevening, J. Organometalities 1982, 1, 775.
 (7) Alper, H.; des Abbayes, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 134, C11.
 (8) Cassar, L.; Foa, M., J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 134, C15.
 (9) Li, P.; Alper, H. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4354.
 (10) Galamb, V.; Gopal, M.; Alper, H. Organometallics 1983, 2, 801.
 (11) Brunet, J. J.; Sidot, C.; Caubere, P. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1166.
 (12) Kashimura, T.; Kudo, K.; Mori, S.; Sugita, N. Chem. Lett. 1986,

483 (13) Joo, F.; Alper, H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1775. 5147

the use of a polar organic phase [e.g., 4-methyl-2-pentanone] results in very low conversions.

The carbonylation process is applicable to a variety of iodoarenes, affording acids in fair to very good yields. Chloro, methoxy, and hydroxymethyl substituents are unaffected, as well as the thiophene ring. The reaction times and product yields are listed in Table I. Bromobenzene does not react under the described conditions.

The carbonylation process may proceed by reaction of the in situ generated cyanotricarbonylnickelate ion¹³ with the aryl iodide to give $ArNi(CO)_2CN$. The latter may arise by single electron transfer with intermediate formation of a radical pair within a solvent cage. Carbonylation of $(\sigma$ -aryl)nickel (to the acylmetal complex), followed by carbon-nickel bond cleavage by base, would give the acid.

In conclusion, phase-transfer catalysis can be used, under nonphotolytic conditions, to carbonylate iodoarenes to carboxylic acids when nickel cyanide is employed as the metal catalyst. This method, which also avoids the use of nickel tetracarbonyl, is exceptionally simple in execution and workup of the reaction.

Experimental Section

General Data. See the same section in ref 13 for information on the use of instrumentation. The iodoarenes, hydrated nickel cyanide, and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide were commercial products and were used as received. Solvents were distilled prior to use.

General Procedure for the Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Carbonylation of Aryl Iodides by Nickel Cyanide. A mixture of 5 N sodium hydroxide [20 mL), nickel cyanide [0.183 g, 1.0 mmol], aryl iodide [10 mmol], and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide [0.060 g, 0.16 mmol] in toluene (20 mL) was stirred under carbon monoxide at 90 °C [±5 °C, oil bath temperature]. After the reaction time indicated in Table I (reaction followed by gas chromoatography), the layers were separated and crushed ice was added to the aqueous phase. The latter was then acidified with 10% HCl and extracted with ether or ethyl acetate $(4 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with water (25 mL), dried $(MgSO_4)$, and evaporated, affording the acid. Characterization of the acid was made in comparison with properties (infrared, nuclear magnetic resonance, mass spectrometry) of authentic materials.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council and to British Petroleum for support of this research.

Registry No. Ni(CN)₂, 557-19-7; C₆H₅I, 591-50-4; 4-CH₃C₆H₄I, 624-31-7; 3-CH₃C₆H₄I, 625-95-6; 2-CH₃C₆H₄I, 615-37-2; 4-ClC₆H₄I, 637-87-6; 4-CH₃OC₆H₄I, 696-62-8; 2-HOCH₂C₆H₄I, 5159-41-1; 1-C₁₀H₇I, 90-14-2; 2-C₄H₃SI, 3437-95-4; C₆H₅COOH, 65-85-0; 4-CH₃C₆H₄COOH, 99-94-5; 3-CH₃C₆H₄COOH, 99-04-7; 2-CH₃C₆H₄COOH, 118-90-1; 4-ClC₆H₄COOH, 74-11-3; 4-CH₃OC₆H₄COOH, 100-09-4; 2-HOCH₂C₆H₄COOH, 612-20-4; 1-C₁₀H₇COOH, 86-55-5; 2-C₄H₃SCOOH, 527-72-0.

Kinetic Interpretation of Aromaticity: A Theoretical Study

Josep M. Bofill,^{1a} Josep Castells,^{*,1a} Santiago Olivella,^{*,1a} and Albert $Sole^{1\widetilde{b}}$

Departaments de Química Orgànica i Química Física, Universitat de Barcelona, Marti i Franquès 1, 08028-Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain

Received April 1, 1988

In spite of having been of great utility in the understanding of carbocyclic and heterocyclic chemistry, aro-

Scheme I



maticity, in our opinion, remains an ill-defined and unquantified concept with an unsatisfactory theoretical support. Definitions of aromaticity based on a purported thermodynamic "extra stability" are questionable; moreover, the quantum-mechanics foundations of this "extra stability" are unclear. In particular, recent theoretical studies of the electronic structure of benzene² have raised serious doubts about the current molecular-orbital interpretation of the benzene "extra stability" based on the π -electron delocalization.

Tendency to react with "reversion to type", that is, regeneration of the aromatic ring, appears to be the most, if not the only, distincitive attribute of the peculiar chemical behavior of benzene and benzene-like (i.e., aromatic) species. Consider, for the sake of simplicity, the stepwise reaction between a cyclic conjugated polyene (1) and the conjugate acid (H–Nu) of a nucleophile (Nu) (Scheme I). It is well-known that after the first step, namely, the formation of the conjugate acid of the cyclic polyene $(1H^+)$, two alternative reaction pathways are possible: (a) addition of Nu to $1H^+$ (nonaromatic behavior) and (b) abstraction of a proton from 1H⁺ by Nu ("reversion to type", aromatic behavior). Herein lies the difference between alkene addition and aromatic substitution.

Tendency to "revert to type" can be rationalized, in principle, on either a thermodynamic³ or a kinetic basis.⁴ Here we present a theoretical study of two possible pathways (i.e., the Nu addition to the *vicinal* position and the H⁺ abstraction) for the model reaction of water, as a representative nucleophile, with the conjugate acid of two archetypal cyclic conjugated polyenes: cyclobutenylium ion $(2H^+)$ and cyclohexadienylium ion $(3H^+)$. The results



lend computational support to a kinetic interpretation of the tendency to "revert to type" (aromatic behavior) shown by benzene after an electrophilic attack.

Computational Details

Due to the molecular size of the systems considered, the geometries of the transition structures for both the H₂O addition and H⁺ abstraction reactions of 2H⁺ and 3H⁺ were calculated by using the MNDO SCF-MO model⁵ as implemented in the MOPAC⁶ program package. This model has proved effective in theoretical studies of the transition-state structures of numerous organic reactions, giving

0022-3263/88/1953-5148\$01.50/0 © 1988 American Chemical Society

^{(1) (}a) Departament de Química Orgànica. (b) Departament de Quimica Fisica.

⁽²⁾ Shaik, S. S.; Hiberty, P. C.; Lefour, J. M.; Ohanessian, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 363. Cooper, D. L.; Gerrat, J.; Raimondi, M. Nature (London) 1986, 323, 699. Hiberty, P. C.; Shaik, S. S.; Lefour, J. M.; Ohanessian, G. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 4659. Shaik, S. S.; Hiberty, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3089.

⁽³⁾ See, eg.: Roberts, J. D.; Caserio, M. C. Basic Principles of Organic Chemistry; W. A. Benjamin: New York, 1965; pp 783-784.
(4) Dixon, W. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1969, 559.
(5) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4899.
(6) Stewart, J. J. P. QCPE Bull. 1983, 3, 101. Olivella, S. QCPE Bull. 1984. 4. 109.